arXiv:0804.0834v1 [math.NT] 5 Apr 2008

q-ANALOGUE OF GAUSS' DIVISIBILITY THEOREM

HAO PAN

ABSTRACT. We prove that

$$\sum_{d|n}\mu(d)\frac{(q^{ad};q^{ad})_{n/d}}{(q^d;q^d)_{n/d}}\equiv 0 \pmod{[n]_{q^b}},$$

for any positive integers n and a, where $b=(n,a)$.

Let p be a prime and a be an integer with $p \nmid a$. The Fermat little theorem asserts that

$$a^{p-1}\equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

For an non-negative integer n, define $[n]_q$ by

$$[n]_q := \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} = 1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}.$$

We say $[n]_q$ is the q-analogue of the integer n since $\lim_{q\to 1}[n]_q = n$. If a and b are two positive integers with $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then we have

$$[a]_q = \frac{1-q^a}{1-q} = \frac{1-q^b+q^b(1-q^{a-b})}{1-q} \equiv [b]_q \pmod{[n]_q},$$

where the above congruence is considered in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. Define

$$(x;q)_n = \begin{cases}(1-x)(1-xq)\cdots(1-xq^{n-1}) & \text{if } n\geq 1,\\ 1 & \text{if } n=0.\end{cases}$$

Then we have a q-analogue of Fermat's little theorem:

$$\frac{(q^a;q^a)_{p-1}}{(q;q)_{p-1}} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1}[a]_{q^j} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1}\frac{[aj]_q}{[j]_q} \equiv 1 \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

The readers may refer to [1, 4, 3, 2] for more q-congruences.

A well-known extension of Fermat's little theorem is Euler's totient theorem:

$$a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

for any positive integers n and a with $(a,n)=1$, where ϕ is the Euler totient function. However, another generalization of Fermat's little theorem was found by

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A07; Secondary 05A30.

Gauss in 1863 (cf. [5, p. 191-193]):

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) a^{n/d} \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \tag{1}$$

for any positive integers n and a (not necessarily co-prime), where μ is the Möbius function. In this short note, we shall give a q-analogue of Gauss' divisibility theorem.

Theorem 1. *For any positive integers n and a,*

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{(q^{ad}; q^{ad})_{n/d}}{(q^d; q^d)_{n/d}} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]_{q^b}},$$

where $b = (n, a)$ is the greatest common divisor of n and a.

Let

$$F(q) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{(q^{ad}; q^{ad})_{n/d}}{(q^d; q^d)_{n/d}}.$$

Let $\zeta_m = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/m}$ for each $m \geq 1$. Clearly

$$[n]_{q^b} = \frac{1 - q^{nb}}{1 - q^b} = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq nb \\ n \nmid s}} (q - \zeta_{nb}^s).$$

So it suffices to show that $F(\zeta_{nb}^s) = 0$ for each $1 \leq s \leq nb$ with $n \nmid s$. Notice that

$$\frac{(q^{ad}; q^{ad})_{n/d}}{(q^d; q^d)_{n/d}} = \prod_{j=1}^{n/d} \frac{1 - q^{jad}}{1 - q^{jd}}.$$

Since $\zeta_{nb/d}^{js} = 1$ implies $\zeta_{nb/d}^{jsa} = 1$, we have

$$\left.\frac{(q^{ad}; q^{ad})_{n/d}}{(q^d; q^d)_{n/d}}\right|_{q=\zeta_{nb}^s} = 0$$

if there exists $1 \leq j \leq n/d$ such that $\zeta_{nb/d}^{jsa} = 1$ but $\zeta_{nb/d}^{js} \neq 1$. It follows that $F(\zeta_{nb}^s) = 0$ for each s with $b \nmid s$, by noting that $\zeta_{nb/d}^{(n/d)sa} = \zeta_b^{sa} = 1$ and $\zeta_{nb/d}^{(n/d)s} = \zeta_b^s \neq 1$. Now suppose that $b \mid s$ and $t = s/b$. The following lemma is an easy exercise in elementary number theory.

Lemma 1.

$$|\{1 \leq j \leq m : jt \equiv 0 \pmod{m}\}| = (m, t).$$

By Lemma 1

$$F(\zeta_n^t) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (ta,n/d)=(t,n/d)}} \mu(d) \frac{(q^{ad};q^{ad})_{n/d}}{(q^d;q^d)_{n/d}}\bigg|_{q=\zeta_n^t}.$$

Lemma 2.

$$\prod_{\substack{1\le j\le m \\ m\nmid jt}} (1-\zeta_m^{jt}) = (m/(m,t))^{(m,t)}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{\substack{1\le j\le m \\ m\nmid jt}} (1-\zeta_m^{jt}) &= \prod_{\substack{1\le j\le m \\ j\not\equiv 0 \pmod{m/(m,t)}}} (1-\zeta_{m/(m,t)}^{jt/(m,t)}) \\ &= \prod_{1\le j< m/(m,t)} (1-\zeta_{m/(m,t)}^{jt/(m,t)})^{(m,t)} \\ &=(m/(m,t))^{(m,t)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now by Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (ta,n/d)=(t,n/d)}} \mu(d) \frac{(q^{ad};q^{ad})_{n/d}}{(q^d;q^d)_{n/d}}\bigg|_{q=\zeta_n^t} \\ =&\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (ta,n/d)=(t,n/d)}} \mu(d) \prod_{\substack{1\le j\le n/d \\ (n/d)\nmid jt}} \frac{1-q^{jtad}}{1-q^{jtd}}\bigg|_{q=\zeta_n^t} \cdot \prod_{\substack{1\le j\le n/d \\ (n/d)|jt}} \frac{1-q^{jtad}}{1-q^{jtd}}\bigg|_{q=\zeta_n^t} \\ =&\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (ta,n/d)=(t,n/d)}} \mu(d) \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le n/d,(n/d)\nmid jta}(1-\zeta_{n/d}^{jta})}{\prod_{1\le j\le n/d,(n/d)\nmid jt}(1-\zeta_{n/d}^{jt})} \cdot \prod_{\substack{1\le j\le n/d \\ (n/d)|jt}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \zeta_{n/d}^{kjt}\right) \\ =&\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (ta,n/d)=(t,n/d)}} \mu(d) a^{(n/d,t)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus our desired result immediately follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 3.

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (d,ta)=(d,t)}} \mu(n/d) a^{(d,t)} = 0$$

provided that $n \nmid tb$.

Proof. Suppose that $d \mid n$. Clearly $(d, tb) \mid (d, ta)$. On the other hand,

$$(d, ta) = ((d, n), ta) = (d, (ta, n)) \mid (d, t(a, n)) = (d, tb).$$

So we have $(d, ta) = (d, tb)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(n/d) a^{(d,t)} =& \sum_{u \mid (t,n)} a^u \sum_{\substack{d \mid n, u \mid d \\ (tb/u, d/u)=1}} \mu(n/d) \\ =& \sum_{u \mid (t,n)} a^u \sum_{d \mid n, u \mid d} \mu(n/d) \sum_{v \mid (tb/u, d/u)} \mu(v) \\ =& \sum_{u \mid (t,n),\ v \mid (tb/u, n/u)} a^u \mu(v) \sum_{d \mid n,\ uv \mid d} \mu(n/d) \\ =& \sum_{u \mid (t,n),\ v \mid (tb/u, n/u)} a^u \mu(v) \sum_{d \mid (n/uv)} \mu((n/uv)/d) \\ =& 0,\end{aligned}$$

by noting that $n \neq uv$ since $uv \mid tb$ and $n \nmid tb$. □

REFERENCES

[1] G. E. Andrews, *q-Analogs of the binomial coefficient congruences of Babbage, Wolstenholme and Glaisher*, Discrete Math., **204** (1999), 15-25.

[2] Hao Pan, *A q-analogue of Lehmer's congruence*, Acta Arith., **128** (2007), 303-318.

[3] Hao Pan and Zhi-Wei Sun, On *q-Euler numbers, q-Salié numbers and q-Carlitz numbers*, Acta Arith., **124** (2006), 41-57.

[4] Bruce E. Sagan, *Congruence properties of q-analogs*, Adv. Math., **95** (1992), 127-143.

[5] J. Sándor and B. Crstici, *Handbook of Number Theory II*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: `haopan79@yahoo.com.cn`